Sterile neutrinos help reconcile the observational results of primordial gravitational waves from Planck and BICEP2

Jing-Fei Zhang,[1] Yun-He Li,[1] and Xin Zhang[1,2,*]

[1]*Department of Physics, College of Sciences, Northeastern University, Shenyang 110004, China*
[2]*Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100080, China*

We show that involving a sterile neutrino species in the ΛCDM+r model can help to relieve the tension about the tensor-to-scalar r between the Planck temperature data and the BICEP2 B-mode polarization data. Such a model is called the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model in this paper. Comparing to the ΛCDM+r model, there are two extra parameters, $N_{\rm eff}$ and $m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,{\rm sterile}}$, in the ΛCDM+$r$+$\nu_s$ model. We show that in this model the tension between Planck and BICEP2 can be greatly relieved at the cost of the increase of n_s. However, comparing with the ΛCDM+r+$dn_s/d\ln k$ model that can significantly reduce the tension between Planck and BICEP2 but also makes trouble to inflation due to the large running of the spectral index of order 10^{-2} produced, the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model is much better for inflation. In fact, by including a sterile neutrino species in the standard cosmology, besides the Planck's tension with BICEP2, the other tensions with other astrophysical data, such as the H_0 direct measurement, the Sunyaev-Zeldovich cluster counts, and the galaxy shear data, can all be significantly relieved. So, this model seems to be an economical choice. Combining the Planck temperature data, the WMAP-9 polarization data, and the baryon acoustic oscillation data with all these astrophysical data (including BICEP2), we find that in the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model $n_s = 0.999^{+0.012}_{-0.011}$, $r = 0.21^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$, $N_{\rm eff} = 3.961^{+0.318}_{-0.325}$ and $m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,{\rm sterile}} = 0.511^{+0.120}_{-0.133}$ eV. Thus, our results prefer $\Delta N_{\rm eff} > 0$ and $m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,{\rm sterile}} > 0$ with high statistical significance. Our fit results, $N_{\rm eff} \approx 4$ and $m^{\rm thermal}_{\rm sterile} \approx m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,{\rm sterile}} \approx 0.5$ eV, are well compatible with a fully thermalized sterile neutrino with sub-eV mass that could explain the oscillation anomalies. Implications of our results to inflation deserve further detailed investigations.

arXiv:1403.7028v1 [astro-ph.CO] 27 Mar 2014

Discovery of the primordial gravitational waves (PGWs) was recently announced by the Background Imaging of Cosmic Extragalactic Polarization (BICEP) Collaboration [1]. The direct detection of the PGWs is currently not feasible due to the fact that they are extremely weak. But they would have left a distinctive imprint in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) — the B mode polarization. From the data of three seasons from 2010 to 2012, the B modes were detected by the BICEP2 experiment.

Nevertheless, the tensor-to-scalar ratio r inferred from the B-mode signal of BICEP2 is almost twice as large as the 95% confidence level (CL) upper limit derived from the temperature measurements of Planck. The BICEP2 Collaboration reported the fit result of the lensed-ΛCDM + tensor model, $r = 0.20^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$, from their observed B-mode power spectrum data, with $r = 0$ disfavored at the 7.0σ level [1]. While the Planck Collaboration reported $r < 0.11$ from the fit to a combination of Planck, South Pole Telescope (SPT) and Atacama Cosmology Telescope (ACT) temperature data, plus the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) 9-year polarization data [2]. (Note that hereafter we use highL to denote the SPT+ACT data, and use WP to denote the WMAP-9 polarization data.) Such an obvious, moderately strong tension between Planck and BICEP2 was not explained well.

In order to reduce the tension, the BICEP2 Collaboration considered the case in which the running of the scalar spectral index, $dn_s/d\ln k$, is included. For the Planck+WP+highL data combination, when the running is allowed, the Planck Collaboration gave the results [2]: $dn_s/d\ln k = -0.022 \pm 0.010$ (68% CL) and $r < 0.26$ (95% CL), from which we can see that the apparent tension between the previous TT measurements and the current B-mode measurements is significantly relieved.

Many cosmologists consider the B modes the smoking gun for inflation. Actually, before the BICEP2 experiment, the single-field slow-roll inflation has already been shown to be preferred by the Planck's temperature measurements. When the BICEP2's B-mode signal strength was reported, it was immediately realized by the community that the simplest inflation model, i.e., the chaotic inflation, works very well. However, it is also well known that the usual slow-roll inflation models cannot produce large running of the scalar spectral index; in these models $dn_s/d\ln k$ is typically of order 10^{-4}. In other words, the single-field slow-roll inflation models cannot explain the large negative running of order 10^{-2} that is needed to reconcile the tension between Planck and BICEP2. A large running of the scalar spectral index means that the spectrum of shorter and longer quantum fluctuations in the infant universe is much more complicated than the standard theory assumes. That is not good for inflation since the model must be contrived. Therefore, in order to reduce the tension, more possibilities should be explored.

In fact, it has been known that several astrophysical observations are inconsistent with the Planck temperature data. For example, for the basic 6-parameter ΛCDM model, from the Planck+WP+highL combination, it is found that the 68% CL fit result of the Hubble constant is $H_0 = (67.3 \pm 1.2)$ km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1} [2], which is in tension with the direct measurement of the Hubble constant (using the cosmic distance ladder method in HST observations of Cepheid variables and type Ia supernovae), $H_0 = (73.8 \pm 2.4)$ km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1} [3], at about the 2.5σ level. Also, from the Planck temperature data, it seems that the standard cosmology predicts more clusters of galaxies than astrophysical observations see. For the basic ΛCDM model, the Planck+WP+highL data combina-

tion leads to $\sigma_8(\Omega_m/0.27)^{0.3} = 0.87 \pm 0.02$ [2], while the counts of rich clusters of galaxies from an analysis of a sample of Planck thermal Sunyaev-Zeldovich (tSZ) clusters give $\sigma_8(\Omega_m/0.27)^{0.3} = 0.78 \pm 0.01$ [4], thus there is a significant (around 3σ) discrepancy between them; the same data combination leads to $\sigma_8(\Omega_m/0.27)^{0.46} = 0.89 \pm 0.03$ [2], while the cosmic shear data of the weak lensing from the CFHTLenS survey give $\sigma_8(\Omega_m/0.27)^{0.46} = 0.774 \pm 0.040$ [5], thus there is a discrepancy at about the 2σ level.

One possible interpretation for these tensions, as adopted by the Planck Collaboration, is that some sources of systematic errors in these astrophysical measurements are not completely understood. However, there is an alternative explanation that is that the basic ΛCDM model is incorrect or should be extended.

Indeed, it is possible to alleviate the tensions between Planck and other astrophysical data by invoking new physics. For example, the Planck's tension with the Hubble constant direct measurements might hint that dark energy is not the cosmological constant. It is shown in Ref. [6] that in a dynamical dark energy model, such as the constant w model or the holographic dark energy model, the tension between CMB [or plus baryon acoustic oscillation (BAO) data] and H_0 is greatly reduced. But the mild tension (at about the 2σ level) between the Planck CMB and the SNLS type Ia supernova compilation may come from the new source of the systematic error, such as the evolution of the color-luminosity parameter β, as analyzed in Ref. [7].

Moreover, recently, it was argued by three teams [8–10] that the Planck's tensions with the H_0 direct measurement, the counts of rich clusters of galaxies, and the cosmic shear measurements may hint the existence of sterile neutrinos. If a sterile neutrino species is added, then clumping would occur more slowly due to its free-streaming damping, producing fewer clusters. In other words, the sterile neutrinos can suppress the growth of structure, bringing the Planck data into better accordance with the counts of clusters. Meanwhile, the sterile neutrinos can change the acoustic scale, leading the Planck fit result of H_0 into better agreement with the direct measurement. In addition, once the Planck cluster counts (as well as the cosmic shear) data are added to the analysis, the evidence at about the 3.5σ level for a nonzero mass of the sterile neutrino can be found [8–10].

Now that the sterile neutrinos can change the acoustic scale and the growth of structure, they may also impact on the constraints on the scalar spectral index n_s and the tensor-to-scalar ratio r. In this work, we will explore this possibility in detail. We will show that the sterile neutrinos can also help to alleviate the apparent tension of r between Planck and BICEP2.

In the basic ΛCDM model, there are three active neutrino species, and so the effective number of relativistic species, $N_{\rm eff}$, is equal to 3.046 (due to non-instantaneous decoupling corrections). Also, a minimal-mass normal hierarchy for the neutrino masses is assumed, namely, only one massive eigenstate with $m_\nu = 0.06$ eV. If the case of more general neutrino mass constraints is considered, usually one assumes a degenerate model in which the three species are degenerate in mass and the total mass $\sum m_\nu$ is a free parameter. But in this paper we do not consider this case. We consider a sterile neutrino model in which there exists one massive sterile neutrino in addition to the two massless and one massive active neutrinos in the ΛCDM+r model, and the active neutrino mass is kept fixed at 0.06 eV. Actually, in order to explain the MiniBoone anomaly [11] as well as reactor and Gallium anomalies [12], the light sterile neutrino scenario is becoming more and more attractive in particle physics. If the sterile neutrino were to thermalize with the same temperature as the active neutrinos, the model would have $N_{\rm eff} \approx 4$.

In this paper, we add massive sterile neutrinos into the ΛCDM+r model, so the model is called ΛCDM+r+ν_s model. Comparing to the ΛCDM+r model (with seven parameters), there are two extra parameters, $N_{\rm eff}$ and $m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,{\rm sterile}}$. In the case of a thermally-distributed sterile neutrino, the effective sterile neutrino mass $m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,{\rm sterile}}$ is related to the true mass via $m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,{\rm sterile}} = (T_s/T_\nu)^3 m^{\rm thermal}_{\rm sterile} = (\Delta N_{\rm eff})^{3/4} m^{\rm thermal}_{\rm sterile}$, with $(\Delta N_{\rm eff}) = (T_s/T_\nu)^4 = N_{\rm eff} - 3.046$. In the Dodelson-Widrow case the relation is $m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,{\rm sterile}} = \chi_s m^{\rm DW}_{\rm sterile}$, with $\Delta N_{\rm eff} = \chi_s$. In the following we shall use the current data to constrain the ΛCDM+r model and the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model, and see how the sterile neutrino impacts on the constraint results of n_s and r and if the sterile neutrino indeed exists in the existing data.




FIG. 1: Two-dimensional marginalized constraints (68% and 95% CL) on the scalar spectral index n_s and the tensor-to-scalar ratio $r_{0.002}$ for the ΛCDM+r model and the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model. Comparison of the ΛCDM+r model (grey) and the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model (red) using the CMB+BAO data combination is shown. Constraints on the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model from CMB+BAO+BICEP2 are shown with the blue contours. Note that here we use CMB to denote Planck+WP for convenience.

First, we use the CMB+BAO data combination to constrain the models. For convenience, hereafter we use CMB to denote the Planck+WP. For the BAO data, we use the latest measurement of the cosmic distance scale from the Data Release 11 (DR11) galaxy sample of the Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey (BOSS) [that is part of the Sloan Digital Sky




FIG. 2: Two-dimensional joint, marginalized constraints (68% and 95% CL) on the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model in the n_s–$r_{0.002}$ plane (upper) and in the $m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,\rm sterile}$–$N_{\rm eff}$ plane (lower). The red contours are for the CMB+BAO+other data combination and the blue contours are for the CMB+BAO+other+BICEP2 data combination. Note that here we use CMB to denote Planck+WP and use "other" to denote H_0+Lensing+SZ for convenience.

Survey III (SDSS-III)]: $D_V(0.32)(r_{d,\rm fid}/r_d) = (1264 \pm 25)$ Mpc and $D_V(0.57)(r_{d,\rm fid}/r_d) = (2056 \pm 20)$ Mpc, with $r_{d,\rm fid} = 149.28$ Mpc [13].

The constraint results in the n_s–$r_{0.002}$ plane are presented in Fig. 1. The grey contours are for the ΛCDM+r model and the red contours are for the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model. It is clear to see that in the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model the 95% CL limit on r is greatly relaxed, i.e., $r < 0.19$, but meanwhile the range of n_s is also significantly enlarged and shifted towards the right. So we conclude that in the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model the tension between Planck and BICEP2 can be greatly relieved at the cost of the increase of n_s. Combining CMB+BAO and the BICEP2 data gives $n_s = 0.994^{+0.012}_{-0.013}$ and $r = 0.19^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$ (95% CL). The two-dimensional marginalized posterior distribution between n_s and r is given by the blue contours in Fig. 1. Note that in our calculations with the B-mode data of BICEP2 involved, the consistency relation for slow-roll inflation, $n_t = -r/8$, is assumed. With only the CMB+BAO data, however, $N_{\rm eff}$ and $m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,\rm sterile}$ cannot be tightly constrained, but only upper bounds can be given (see also, e.g., Ref. [2]). In order to determine these two parameters, as analyzed in Refs. [8–10], other astrophysical data, such as H_0 direct measurement, SZ cluster data and lensing data, should be considered.

TABLE I: Fitting results for the ΛCDM+r and ΛCDM+r+ν_s models. The constraints on the ΛCDM+r model are from the Planck+WP+BAO data combination, and the constraints on the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model are from the Planck+WP+BAO+H_0+Lensing+SZ+BICEP2 data combination.

Model	ΛCDM+r	ΛCDM+r+ν_s
Data	CMB+BAO	CMB+BAO+other+BICEP2
$\Omega_b h^2$	0.02211 ± 0.00024	$0.02282^{+0.00028}_{-0.00027}$
$\Omega_c h^2$	$0.11859^{+0.00142}_{-0.00141}$	0.12723 ± 0.00479
$100\theta_{\rm MC}$	1.04138 ± 0.00055	$1.04050^{+0.00067}_{-0.00069}$
τ	$0.0906^{+0.0125}_{-0.0127}$	$0.1081^{+0.0138}_{-0.0167}$
n_s	0.9632 ± 0.0053	$0.9994^{+0.0119}_{-0.0111}$
$r_{0.05}$	< 0.130	$0.1906^{+0.0347}_{-0.0396}$
$N_{\rm eff}$	...	$3.961^{+0.318}_{-0.325}$
$m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,\rm sterile}$	...	$0.5106^{+0.1196}_{-0.1325}$
$\ln(10^{10}A_s)$	3.087 ± 0.025	$3.141^{+0.031}_{-0.035}$
$r_{0.002}$	< 0.123	$0.2064^{+0.0399}_{-0.0502}$
Ω_Λ	0.6924 ± 0.0084	$0.6952^{+0.0087}_{-0.0086}$
Ω_m	0.3076 ± 0.0084	$0.3048^{+0.0086}_{-0.0087}$
σ_8	0.8246 ± 0.0114	$0.7589^{+0.0116}_{-0.0118}$
H_0	$67.80^{+0.64}_{-0.63}$	$71.58^{+1.41}_{-1.57}$

Next, we consider these extra astrophysical data. For the H_0 direct measurement, we use the HST result $H_0 = (73.8 \pm 2.4)$ km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1} [3]. For the SZ cluster counts, we use the Planck result $\sigma_8(\Omega_m/0.27)^{0.3} = 0.78 \pm 0.01$ [4]. For the lensing data, we use both the CMB lensing data $C_\ell^{\phi\phi}$ from Planck [14] and the galaxy lensing result $\sigma_8(\Omega_m/0.27)^{0.46} = 0.774 \pm 0.040$ from CFHTLenS [5]. It has been demonstrated in Refs. [8, 9] that the use of the priors of cluster counts and galaxy shear is appropriate in constraining the model with sterile neutrino. Moreover, in a model with sterile neutrino these astrophysical data are basically consistent with the Planck temperature data [8–10], and so combining these data is also appropriate. Thus, we shall use the CMB+BAO+other data combination to constrain the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model, where "other" is used to denote H_0+Lensing+SZ for convenience.

The constraint results in the n_s–$r_{0.002}$ and $m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,\rm sterile}$–$N_{\rm eff}$ planes are shown in Fig. 2. In this figure, the red contours are for the CMB+BAO+other data combination, and the blue contours are for the CMB+BAO+other+BICEP2 data combination. We can see that in this case the r tension between Planck and BICEP2 is further reduced, and the parameters $N_{\rm eff}$ and $m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,\rm sterile}$ can be tightly constrained. We find that for the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model, the CMB+BAO+other data combination gives $n_s = 0.991^{+0.014}_{-0.013}$, $r < 0.23$ (95% CL), $N_{\rm eff} = 3.756^{+0.350}_{-0.377}$ and $m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,\rm sterile} = 0.479^{+0.114}_{-0.131}$ eV, and including the BICEP2 data modifies the constraint results to $n_s = 0.999^{+0.012}_{-0.011}$, $r = 0.21^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$, $N_{\rm eff} = 3.961^{+0.318}_{-0.325}$ and $m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,\rm sterile} = 0.511^{+0.120}_{-0.133}$ eV. We see that in the tightest constraints from

the CMB+BAO+other+BICEP2 combination, $\Delta N_{\rm eff} > 0$ is at about the 3σ level and $m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,\rm sterile} > 0$ is at more than the 4σ level. Our fit results, $N_{\rm eff} \approx 4$ and $m^{\rm thermal}_{\rm sterile} \approx m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,\rm sterile} \approx 0.5$ eV, are well compatible with a fully thermalized sterile neutrino with sub-eV mass that could explain the oscillation anomalies.

At last, to make a direct comparison for the ΛCDM+r model and the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model, we list the detailed constraint results of the parameters in Table I, where for the two models the constraints are from the CMB+BAO combination and the CMB+BAO+other+BICEP2 combination, respectively.

Now that the PGWs have already been discovered and the tensor-to-scalar ratio r is found to be surprisingly large, around 0.2, the standard cosmology should at least be extended to the ΛCDM+r model. However, in this model the Planck temperature data are in strong tension with the BICEP2 B-mode data, since the Planck data (Planck+WP+highL) give a 95% CL upper bound $r < 0.11$. Considering the ΛCDM+r+$dn_s/d\ln k$ model can significantly reduce the tension between Planck and BICEP2, but also leads the inflation theory to be in a tough situation since a large running scalar spectral index of order 10^{-2} challenges the design of inflation models. So, perhaps one should explore other possibilities to reduce the tension. In this paper we proposed to consider the massive sterile neutrino in the model, i.e., the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model, in which two extra parameters, $N_{\rm eff}$ and $m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,\rm sterile}$, are introduced.

In the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model, the 95% CL upper limit on r from the Planck data is greatly relaxed, but meanwhile the range of n_s is also amplified and shifted towards the right. Thus, we can draw the conclusion that the tension between Planck and BICEP2 can be greatly relieved at the cost of the increase of n_s. In the current case, the exact scale-invariant primordial scalar perturbation spectrum cannot be excluded. According to the fit results for this model, both the red and blue tilts should be considered in the inflation. This may not be good news but we feel that the current situation of the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model is still much better than that of the ΛCDM+r+$dn_s/d\ln k$ model since a large running parameter greatly challenges the inflation theory.

Using only the Planck+WP+BAO data, the parameters $N_{\rm eff}$ and $m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,\rm sterile}$ cannot be tightly constrained, and only upper bounds can be given. In order to tighten the constraints and get the ranges for these parameters, we combined Planck+WP+BAO with other astrophysical data to constrain the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model. Relieving the tensions between Planck data and other astrophysical data in the ΛCDM+ν_s model has been discussed in Refs. [8–10]. For the ΛCDM+r+ν_s model, the Planck+WP+BAO+H_0+Lensing+SZ+BICEP2 data combination gives the fit results: $n_s = 0.999^{+0.012}_{-0.011}$, $r = 0.21^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$, $N_{\rm eff} = 3.961^{+0.318}_{-0.325}$ and $m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,\rm sterile} = 0.511^{+0.120}_{-0.133}$ eV. So, we found that in this model $\Delta N_{\rm eff} > 0$ is at about the 3σ level and $m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,\rm sterile} > 0$ is at more than the 4σ level. In other words, the evidence for the existence of the sterile neutrino in the existing data is at more than 4σ. Moreover, our results are well compatible with the fully thermalized sterile neutrino ($N_{\rm eff} \approx 4$) with sub-eV ($m^{\rm thermal}_{\rm sterile} \approx m^{\rm eff}_{\nu,\rm sterile} \approx 0.5$ eV) providing an explanation to the oscillation anomalies.

Therefore, considering the sterile neutrino in the ΛCDM+r model can reconcile the observational results of the PGWs from Planck and BICEP2. Detailed investigation on the implications of our results to inflation is the next step.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11175042) and the National Ministry of Education of China (Grant No. N120505003).

* Electronic address: zhangxin@mail.neu.edu.cn

[1] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [BICEP2 Collaboration], arXiv:1403.3985 [astro-ph.CO].
[2] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5076.
[3] A. G. Riess, L. Macri, S. Casertano, H. Lampeitl, H. C. Ferguson, A. V. Filippenko, S. W. Jha and W. Li *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **730**, 119 (2011) [Erratum-ibid. **732**, 129 (2011)] [arXiv:1103.2976 [astro-ph.CO]].
[4] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5080 [astro-ph.CO].
[5] J. Benjamin, L. Van Waerbeke, C. Heymans, M. Kilbinger, T. Erben, H. Hildebrandt, H. Hoekstra and T. D. Kitching *et al.*, arXiv:1212.3327 [astro-ph.CO].
[6] M. Li, X. -D. Li, Y. -Z. Ma, X. Zhang and Z. Zhang, JCAP **1309**, 021 (2013) [arXiv:1305.5302 [astro-ph.CO]].
[7] S. Wang, Y. -H. Li and X. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 063524 (2014) [arXiv:1310.6109 [astro-ph.CO]].
[8] J. Hamann and J. Hasenkamp, JCAP **1310**, 044 (2013) [arXiv:1308.3255 [astro-ph.CO]].
[9] M. Wyman, D. H. Rudd, R. A. Vanderveld and W. Hu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 051302 (2014) [arXiv:1307.7715 [astro-ph.CO]].
[10] R. A. Battye and A. Moss, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 051303 (2014) [arXiv:1308.5870 [astro-ph.CO]].
[11] A. A. Aguilar-Arevalo *et al.* [MiniBooNE Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, no. 16, 161801 (2013) [arXiv:1207.4809 [hep-ex], arXiv:1303.2588 [hep-ex]].
[12] C. Giunti, M. Laveder, Y. F. Li and H. W. Long, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 013004 (2013) [arXiv:1212.3805 [hep-ph]].
[13] L. Anderson *et al.* [BOSS Collaboration], arXiv:1312.4877 [astro-ph.CO].
[14] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5077 [astro-ph.CO].